

**COMPLETE LISTING OF CLAIMS**  
**IN ASCENDING ORDER WITH STATUS INDICATOR**

Claim 1 (currently amended): In a system comprising a network and at least one mobile station (MS) for enabling communications with the at least one MS, the network including one or more base stations (BSs) capable of transmitting a rescue channel to a MS having a connection with the network that has become a potentially failing connection for assisting in rescuing the connection, a method for synchronizing transmission of the rescue channel with MS attempts at receiving the rescue channel to increase a likelihood that the MS will receive the rescue channel and assist in rescuing the connection, the method comprising:

transmitting the rescue channel from one or more BSs at a time during each of one or more SUPER\_ATTEMPT time intervals;

configuring the MS to attempt to receive the rescue channel from the one or more BSs in a sequence during each of ~~one or more~~ a plurality of SUB\_ATTEMPT periods, wherein a predetermined number of SUB\_ATTEMPT periods greater than one are contained in one SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval; and

coordinating the transmission of the rescue channel from the one or more BSs with the MS configurations to increase the likelihood that the MS is configured to receive the rescue channel from a particular BS at a time when the particular BS is transmitting the rescue channel.

Claim 2 (original): The method as recited in claim 1, further including configuring the MS to attempt to receive the rescue channel from one BS at a time in a sequence during each of the one or more SUB\_ATTEMPT periods, the sequence defined by MS ordering criteria based on MS probability values assigned to each of the BSs in the sequence, the MS probability values representing the likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.

Claim 3 (original): The method as recited in claim 2, wherein the sequence is altered during each SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval by changing the MS ordering criteria at each SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval.

Claim 4 (original): The method as recited in claim 2, further including transmitting the rescue channel from the one or more BSs at a time in a sequence, the sequence defined by network ordering criteria based on network probability values assigned to each of the BSs in the sequence, the network probability values representing a likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.

Claim 5 (original): The method as recited in claim 1, further including executing a first search tree within a first SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval, the execution of the first search tree comprising:

- configuring the MS during a first SUB\_ATTEMPT period to attempt to receive the rescue channel from one or more BSs, and determining whether the attempt to receive the rescue channel from the one or more BSs resulted in the MS receiving the rescue channel;

- selectively reconfiguring the MS during a subsequent SUB\_ATTEMPT period to attempt to receive the rescue channel from a modified set of BSs in accordance with the first search tree and results from a previous SUB\_ATTEMPT period, and determining whether the attempt to receive the rescue channel from the modified set of BSs resulted in the MS receiving the rescue channel;

- repeating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel as dictated, if at all, by the first search tree and the results of previous selective reconfigurations; and

- terminating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel when an end of the first search tree is reached, or the BS transmitting the rescue channel is identified, or when an end of the first SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval is reached.

Claim 6 (original): The method as recited in claim 5, further including executing one or more different search trees in subsequent SUPER\_ATTEMPT time intervals for identifying one or more BSs transmitting the rescue channel.

Claim 7 (original): The method as recited in claim 5, further including applying probability values assigned to each of the BSs to the steps of configuring the MS during the first SUB\_ATTEMPT period, selectively reconfiguring the MS during the subsequent SUB\_ATTEMPT period, and repeating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel, the probability values representing a likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.

Claim 8 (original): The method as recited in claim 7, further including recomputing the probability values assigned to each of the BSs at each SUB\_ATTEMPT period, taking into account changing conditions and the result of attempts to receive the rescue channel during previous SUB\_ATTEMPT periods.

Claim 9 (original): The method as recited in claim 7, wherein the probability values assigned to each of the BSs are adjustable to account for varying SUB\_ATTEMPT durations, fades, degraded reception of the rescue channel, or pilot strength information made available to the network prior to a start of the potentially failing connection.

Claim 10 (original): The method as recited in claim 5, wherein prior to executing the first search tree, the method further includes:

defining a plurality of search trees, each search tree for identifying the BS transmitting the rescue channel from within the plurality of BSs;

computing a time needed to traverse each search tree; and

identifying the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time.

Claim 11 (original): The method as recited in claim 5, wherein prior to executing the first search tree, the method further includes utilizing dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms to identify the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time.

Claim 12 (original): The method as recited in claim 11, further including executing the dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms on generic N-sector search trees to identify the generic N-sector search tree having a lowest traversal time, and pre-storing resulting tree formulas for each of the N-sector trees having the lowest traversal times prior to a start of the potentially failing connection.

Claim 13 (currently amended): In a system comprising a network and at least one mobile station (MS) for enabling communications with the at least one MS, the network including one or more base stations (BSs) capable of transmitting a rescue channel during each of one or more SUPER\_ATTEMPT time intervals to a MS having a connection with the network that has become a potentially failing connection for assisting in rescuing the connection, a method for synchronizing transmission of the rescue channel with MS attempts at receiving the rescue channel to increase a likelihood that the MS will receive the rescue channel and assist in rescuing the connection, the method comprising:

configuring the MS to attempt to receive the rescue channel from the one or more BSs in a sequence during each of ~~one or more~~ a plurality of SUB\_ATTEMPT periods, wherein a predetermined number of SUB\_ATTEMPT periods greater than one are contained in one SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval; and

coordinating the transmission of the rescue channel from the one or more BSs with the MS configurations to increase the likelihood that the MS is configured to receive the rescue channel from a particular BS at a time when the particular BS is transmitting the rescue channel.

Claim 14 (original): The method as recited in claim 13, further including configuring the MS to attempt to receive the rescue channel from one BS at a time in a sequence during each of the one or more SUB\_ATTEMPT periods, the sequence defined by MS ordering criteria based on MS probability values assigned to each of the BSs in the sequence, the MS probability values representing the likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.

Claim 15 (original): The method as recited in claim 14, wherein the sequence is altered during each SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval by changing the MS ordering criteria at each SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval.

Claim 16 (original): The method as recited in claim 13, further including executing a first search tree within a first SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval, the execution of the first search tree comprising:

- configuring the MS during a first SUB\_ATTEMPT period to attempt to receive the rescue channel from one or more BSs, and determining whether the attempt to receive the rescue channel from the one or more BSs resulted in the MS receiving the rescue channel;

- selectively reconfiguring the MS during a subsequent SUB\_ATTEMPT period to attempt to receive the rescue channel from a modified set of BSs in accordance with the first search tree and results from a previous SUB\_ATTEMPT period, and determining whether the attempt to receive the rescue channel from the modified set of BSs resulted in the MS receiving the rescue channel;

- repeating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel as dictated, if at all, by the first search tree and the results of previous selective reconfigurations; and

- terminating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel when an end of the first search tree is reached, or the BS transmitting the rescue channel is identified, or when an end of the first SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval is reached.

Claim 17 (original): The method as recited in claim 16, further including executing one or more different search trees in subsequent SUPER\_ATTEMPT time intervals for identifying one or more BSs transmitting the rescue channel.

Claim 18 (original): The method as recited in claim 16, further including applying probability values assigned to each of the BSs to the steps of configuring the MS during the first SUB\_ATTEMPT period, selectively reconfiguring the MS during the subsequent SUB\_ATTEMPT period, and repeating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel, the probability values representing a likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.

Claim 19 (original): The method as recited in claim 18, further including recomputing the probability values assigned to each of the BSs at each SUB\_ATTEMPT period, taking into account changing conditions and the result of attempts to receive the rescue channel during previous SUB\_ATTEMPT periods.

Claim 20 (original): The method as recited in claim 18, wherein the probability values assigned to each of the BSs are adjustable to account for varying SUB\_ATTEMPT durations, fades, degraded reception of the rescue channel, or pilot strength information made available to the network prior to a start of the potentially failing connection.

Claim 21 (original): The method as recited in claim 16, wherein prior to executing the first search tree, the method further includes:

defining a plurality of search trees, each search tree for identifying the BS transmitting the rescue channel from within the plurality of BSs;

computing a time needed to traverse each search tree; and

identifying the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time.

Claim 22 (original): The method as recited in claim 16, wherein prior to executing the first search tree, the method further includes utilizing dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms to identify the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time.

Claim 23 (original): The method as recited in claim 22, further including executing the dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms on generic N-sector search trees to identify the generic N-sector search tree having a lowest traversal time, and pre-storing resulting tree formulas for each of the N-sector trees having the lowest traversal times prior to a start of the potentially failing connection.

Claim 24 (original): In a system comprising a network and at least one mobile station (MS) for enabling communications with the at least one MS, one of the MSs having a connection with the network that has become a potentially failing connection and capable of receiving a rescue channel from one or more base stations (BSs) in the network for rescuing the connection, a method for assisting in synchronizing transmission of the rescue channel to increase a likelihood that the MS will receive the rescue channel and assist in rescuing the connection, the method comprising:

transmitting the rescue channel from one or more BSs at a time in a sequence, the sequence defined by network ordering criteria based on network probability values assigned to each of the BSs in the sequence, the network probability values representing a likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.

Claim 25 (original): The method as recited in claim 24, the MS for executing a first search tree within a first SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval to identify the BS transmitting the rescue channel, and prior to a start of the potentially failing connection, the method further including:

defining a plurality of search trees, each search tree for identifying the BS transmitting the rescue channel from within the plurality of BSs;  
computing a time needed to traverse each search tree;  
identifying the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time; and  
communicating the first search tree to the MS.

Claim 26 (original): The method as recited in claim 25, further including utilizing dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms to identify the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time.

Claim 27 (original): The method as recited in claim 26, further including executing the dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms on generic N-sector search trees to identify the generic N-sector search tree having a lowest traversal time, and communicating tree formulas resulting from the execution of the dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms for each of the N-sector trees having the lowest traversal times to the MS.

Claim 28 (currently amended): A system for enabling communications between a network and a mobile station (MS) and for synchronizing transmission of a rescue channel with MS attempts at receiving the rescue channel when the MS has a connection with the network that has become a potentially failing connection, the synchronization for increasing a likelihood that the MS will receive the rescue channel and assist in rescuing the connection, the system comprising:

a network, the network including one or more base stations (BSs) and a network processor, the network processor programmed for transmitting a rescue channel from the one or more BSs during each of one or more SUPER\_ATTEMPT time intervals; and

a MS communicatively coupled to the network and having a MS processor, the MS processor programmed for configuring the MS to attempt to receive the rescue channel from the one or more BSs in a sequence during each of ~~one or more~~ a plurality of SUB\_ATTEMPT periods, wherein a predetermined number of SUB\_ATTEMPT periods greater than one are contained in one SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval;

wherein the network and the MS coordinate the transmission of the rescue channel from the one or more BSs with the MS configurations to increase the likelihood that the MS is configured to receive the rescue channel from a particular BS at a time when the particular BS is transmitting the rescue channel.

Claim 29 (original): The system as recited in claim 28, the MS processor further programmed for configuring the MS to attempt to receive the rescue channel from one BS at a time in a sequence during each of the one or more SUB\_ATTEMPT periods, the sequence defined by MS ordering criteria based on MS probability values assigned to each of the BSs in the sequence, the MS probability values representing the likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.



Claim 30 (original): The system as recited in claim 29, the MS processor further programmed for altering the sequence during each SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval by changing the MS ordering criteria at each SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval.

Claim 31 (original): The system as recited in claim 29, the network processor further programmed for transmitting the rescue channel from the one or more BSs at a time in a sequence, the sequence defined by network ordering criteria based on network probability values assigned to each of the BSs in the sequence, the network probability values representing a likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.

Claim 32 (original): The system as recited in claim 28, the MS processor further programmed for executing a first search tree within a first SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval, the execution of the first search tree comprising:

- configuring the MS during a first SUB\_ATTEMPT period to attempt to receive the rescue channel from one or more BSs, and determining whether the attempt to receive the rescue channel from the one or more BSs resulted in the MS receiving the rescue channel;

- selectively reconfiguring the MS during a subsequent SUB\_ATTEMPT period to attempt to receive the rescue channel from a modified set of BSs in accordance with the first search tree and results from a previous SUB\_ATTEMPT period, and determining whether the attempt to receive the rescue channel from the modified set of BSs resulted in the MS receiving the rescue channel;

- repeating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel as dictated, if at all, by the first search tree and the results of previous selective reconfigurations; and

- terminating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel when an end of the first search tree is reached, or the BS transmitting the rescue channel is identified, or when an end of the first SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval is reached.

Claim 33 (original): The system as recited in claim 32, the MS processor further programmed for executing one or more different search trees in subsequent SUPER\_ATTEMPT time intervals for identifying one or more BSs transmitting the rescue channel.

Claim 34 (original): The system as recited in claim 32, the MS processor further programmed for applying probability values assigned to each of the BSs to the steps of configuring the MS during the first SUB\_ATTEMPT period, selectively reconfiguring the MS during the subsequent SUB\_ATTEMPT period, and repeating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel, the probability values representing a likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.

Claim 35 (original): The system as recited in claim 34, the MS processor further programmed for recomputing the probability values assigned to each of the BSs at each SUB\_ATTEMPT period, taking into account changing conditions and the result of attempts to receive the rescue channel during previous SUB\_ATTEMPT periods.

Claim 36 (original): The system as recited in claim 34, the MS processor further programmed for adjusting the probability values assigned to each of the BSs to account for varying SUB\_ATTEMPT durations, fades, degraded reception of the rescue channel, or pilot strength information made available to the network prior to a start of the potentially failing connection.

Claim 37 (original): The system as recited in claim 32, the MS processor is further programmed for, prior to executing the first search tree:

- defining a plurality of search trees, each search tree for identifying the BS transmitting the rescue channel from within the plurality of BSs;
- computing a time needed to traverse each search tree; and
- identifying the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time.

Claim 38 (original): The system as recited in claim 32, the MS processor further programmed for, prior to executing the first search tree, utilizing dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms to identify the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time.

Claim 39 (original): The system as recited in claim 38, the MS processor further programmed for executing the dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms on generic N-sector search trees to identify the generic N-sector search tree having a lowest traversal time, and pre-storing resulting tree formulas for each of the N-sector trees having the lowest traversal times prior to a start of the potentially failing connection.

Claim 40 (currently amended): A mobile station (MS) for communicating with a network and for assisting in rescuing the MS when the MS has a connection with the network that has become a potentially failing connection, the network including one or more base stations (BSs) capable of transmitting a rescue channel during each of one or more SUPER\_ATTEMPT time intervals to the MS, the MS comprising:

a MS processor programmed for

configuring the MS to attempt to receive the rescue channel from the one or more BSs in a sequence during each of ~~one or more~~ a plurality of SUB\_ATTEMPT periods, wherein a predetermined number of SUB\_ATTEMPT periods greater than one are contained in one SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval, and

coordinating the transmission of the rescue channel from the one or more BSs with the MS configurations to increase the likelihood that the MS is configured to receive the rescue channel from a particular BS at a time when the particular BS is transmitting the rescue channel.

Claim 41 (original): The MS as recited in claim 40, the MS processor further programmed for configuring the MS to attempt to receive the rescue channel from one BS at a time in a sequence during each of the one or more SUB\_ATTEMPT periods, the sequence defined by MS ordering criteria based on MS probability values assigned to each of the BSs in the sequence, the MS probability values representing the likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.

Claim 42 (original): The MS as recited in claim 41, the MS processor further programmed for altering the sequence during each SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval by changing the MS ordering criteria at each SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval.

Claim 43 (original): The MS as recited in claim 40, the MS processor further programmed for executing a first search tree within a first SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval, the execution of the first search tree comprising:

- configuring the MS during a first SUB\_ATTEMPT period to attempt to receive the rescue channel from one or more BSs, and determining whether the attempt to receive the rescue channel from the one or more BSs resulted in the MS receiving the rescue channel;

- selectively reconfiguring the MS during a subsequent SUB\_ATTEMPT period to attempt to receive the rescue channel from a modified set of BSs in accordance with the first search tree and results from a previous SUB\_ATTEMPT period, and determining whether the attempt to receive the rescue channel from the modified set of BSs resulted in the MS receiving the rescue channel;

- repeating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel as dictated, if at all, by the first search tree and the results of previous selective reconfigurations; and

- terminating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel when an end of the first search tree is reached, or the BS transmitting the rescue channel is identified, or when an end of the first SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval is reached.

Claim 44 (original): The MS as recited in claim 43, the MS processor further programmed for executing one or more different search trees in subsequent SUPER\_ATTEMPT time intervals for identifying one or more BSs transmitting the rescue channel.

Claim 45 (original): The MS as recited in claim 43, the MS processor further programmed for applying probability values assigned to each of the BSs to the steps of configuring the MS during the first SUB\_ATTEMPT period, selectively reconfiguring the MS during the subsequent SUB\_ATTEMPT period, and repeating the selective reconfiguration of the MS and the attempts to receive the rescue channel, the probability values representing a likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.

Claim 46 (original): The MS as recited in claim 45, the MS processor further programmed for recomputing the probability values assigned to each of the BSs at each SUB\_ATTEMPT period, taking into account changing conditions and the result of attempts to receive the rescue channel during previous SUB\_ATTEMPT periods.

Claim 47 (original): The MS as recited in claim 45, the MS processor further programmed for adjusting the probability values assigned to each of the BSs to account for varying SUB\_ATTEMPT durations, fades, degraded reception of the rescue channel, or pilot strength information made available to the network prior to a start of the potentially failing connection.

Claim 48 (original): The MS as recited in claim 43, the MS processor further programmed for, prior to executing the first search tree:

- defining a plurality of search trees, each search tree for identifying the BS transmitting the rescue channel from within the plurality of BSs;
- computing a time needed to traverse each search tree; and
- identifying the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time.

Claim 49 (original): The MS as recited in claim 43, the MS processor further programmed for, prior to executing the first search tree, utilizing dynamic programming or memoization algorithms to identify the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time.

Claim 50 (original): The MS as recited in claim 49, the MS processor further programmed for executing the dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms on generic N-sector search trees to identify the generic N-sector search tree having a lowest traversal time, and pre-storing resulting tree formulas for each of the N-sector trees having the lowest traversal times prior to a start of the potentially failing connection.

Claim 51 (original): A system for enabling communications with at least one mobile station (MS) and for assisting in rescuing a MS having a connection with the network that has become a potentially failing connection, the MS capable of receiving a rescue channel for rescuing the connection, the system for assisting in synchronizing transmission of the rescue channel to increase a likelihood that the MS will receive the rescue channel and assist in rescuing the connection, the system comprising:

a network, the network including one or more base stations (BSs) capable of transmitting the rescue channel during each of one or more SUPER\_ATTEMPT time intervals, and a network processor programmed for transmitting the rescue channel from one or more BSs at a time in a sequence, the sequence defined by network ordering criteria based on network probability values assigned to each of the BSs in the sequence, the network probability values representing a likelihood that the rescue channel transmitted by the BS will be received by the MS.

Claim 52 (original): The system as recited in claim 51, the MS for executing a first search tree within a first SUPER\_ATTEMPT time interval to identify the BS transmitting the rescue channel, the network processor further programmed for, prior to a start of the potentially failing connection:

defining a plurality of search trees, each search tree for identifying the BS transmitting the rescue channel from within the plurality of BSs;

computing a time needed to traverse each search tree;

identifying the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time; and

communicating the first search tree to the MS.

Claim 53 (original): The system as recited in claim 52, the network processor further programmed for utilizing dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms to identify the first search tree as the search tree having a lowest traversal time.

Claim 54 (original): The system as recited in claim 53, the network processor further programmed for executing the dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms on generic N-sector search trees to identify the generic N-sector search tree having a lowest traversal time, and communicating tree formulas resulting from the execution of the dynamic programming or memo-ization algorithms for each of the N-sector trees having the lowest traversal times to the MS.